

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,682		03/18/2004	Hongtei E. Tseng	81095943FGT1916	2681
28549	75	90 01/31/2005	•	EXAMINER	
KEVIN	G. MI	ERZWA	WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
ARTZ & 28333 TE		Z, P.C. RAPH ROAD, SUITE :	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034				3683	
	·		DATE MAILED, 01/21/2005		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/708,682	TSENG, HONGTEI E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
0	Thomas J. Williams	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/18/05, 6/14/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/708,682 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made in the receipt of the oath and information disclosure statement filed March 18, 2004, and the information disclosure statement filed June 14, 2004.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed June 14, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The GB reference has been considered since it is in English.

Specification

3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,029,948 to Breen et al. in view of US 6,226,587 to Tachihata et al.

Re-claims 1 and 10, Breen et al. teaches a method of controlling an automotive vehicle with a yaw stability control system (such as going through a curve) and a trailer comprising: determining a presence of a trailer; controlling a side slip (articulation angle) in response to the trailer signal; controlling the yaw stability control system (through brake pressure modification) in response to the trailer signal. However, Breen et al. fails to teach modifying a side slip parameter in response to the trailer signal, and subsequently controlling the yaw stability control system.

Tachihata et al. teaches a yaw stability control system for an automotive vehicle with a trailer, in which a side slip angle parameter threshold B is modified in response to the trailer signal, see figure 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the side slip angle parameter threshold of Breen et al. as taught by Tachihata et al., thus maintaining greater control over the vehicle during cornering.

Re-claim 2, see figure 11.

Re-claims 3-7 and 11-14, see figures 7-10 in Breen et al.

Re-claims 8 and 9, see abstract.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kamins et al., Breen, Nakamura et al., Semsey, Boros et al., and Hawkins each teach a yaw control in a vehicle having a trailer. Tanaka et al. teaches modifying side slip thresholds in a vehicle.
- 7. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-1346

Application/Control Number: 10/708,682

Art Unit: 3683

(after April 2005 the new telephone number will be 571-272-7128). The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached

on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Bucci, can be reached at (703) 308-3668 (after April 2005 the new telephone

number will be 571-272-7099). The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TJW

January 25, 2005

THOMASWILLIANS PATENT EXAMPLE? Page 4

Thomas Williams

Au 1683

1-25-05